

CANDOUR

The British Views-Letter

Founded by A. K. Chesterton in 1953 to defend National Sovereignty against the menace of International Finance
Vol. XXXVIII No. 1

Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper

January, 1987

MORE PAWNS IN THE GAME

by DON BELL

In this on-going controversy over the use of hostages as pawns in the war games, more than one kind of coverup has been employed to protect the guilty. There seems to be a deliberate attempt to shield Syria, Israel and the Soviet Union, and make it appear that all the negotiations have been between the National Security Council and certain Iranian officials. Which is hard to believe since Americans are devils in Khomeini's eyes and he'd rather shoot than trade directly with "the devil." Also very obvious is the fact that the Soviet Union is never even mentioned in deals involving the countries of the Middle East, even though its activities in Afghanistan and South Yemen are notorious. Furthermore, if it were not for the Soviet's habit of backing terrorists and kidnappers on a worldwide scale, there would never have been such a wave of global outlawry.

When it comes to its own treatment of American hostages, the Soviet Union seems to have decided that the carrot is better than the stick. This present hostage exchange began with the arrest and trade of Nicholas Daniloff. Writing in *The New American* Dr. Clarence B. Carson noted that "Strange tidings come from Nicholas Daniloff since his being freed from a Soviet prison after a 13-day incarceration. He was permitted to have visitors, including his wife. The commandant of the prison visited his cell twice each day and saw to it that he had clean sheets, top and bottom, for his bed. Instead of the usual thin blanket, he was issued a thicker and more comfortable one. When the authorities discovered that Daniloff suffered from hypertension, his blood pressure was checked three times daily, and he was attended from time to time by a doctor and a nurse. This is a far cry from the many other stories I have read of the treatment of political prisoners by the KGB . . . Is the Soviet leopard changing his spots?" After his return to the US Daniloff said, "It is very important for the Russian people and the American people to put their relations on a stable basis. We've got to know each other better." He also said on the CBS Morning News that he foresees the possibility that the Soviet Union will take up its place, however reluctantly, among the civilized nations of the world. In short, Daniloff hinted that the Soviet leopard will change his spots as we get to know each other better.

Life Better In Russia?

A few weeks ago a group of American children of elementary school age returned to Florida after a monitored visit to the Soviet Union. They came back praising Russia, its schools and customs, and many of them thought life was much better in Russia than in the United States!

This, of course, was in line with the plan voiced by H. Rowan Gaither, then president of the Ford Foundation, when he said in 1966: "All of us here at the policy-making level have had experience, either in the OSS or the European Economic Administration, with directives from the White House. We operate under those directives here. The substance of them is that *we shall use our grant-making power so as to alter our life in the United States that we can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union.*" This policy was carried out slowly and carefully by the tax-exempt foundations and other World Order advocates until December 1979, when President Jimmy Carter said he was suddenly alerted to the aims of the Communists when the invasion of Afghanistan began. Carter was so shocked that he cut off again shipments to Russia, brought an end to most of the cultural, political, educational, and other exchange projects. However, when Ronald Reagan became President, grain shipments were resumed and new cultural and educational exchanges were arranged.

Tom Anderson, in his *Straight Talk* of November 6, commented: "And so now a high percentage of the American people are for trade and aid to the Communists, who, they have been told again and again, have 'mellowed.' Indeed the Communists have mellowed; formerly they wanted to take the whole world. Now they want to take only half the world. They already have the other half . . . Spokesmen for the one-worlding Council on Foreign Relations are now confiding: 'The Soviets are crumbling from within. We must help her to keep her from doing something rash.' And the way to keep the Soviets from

crumbling is, not simply to pour in more aid and develop more trade, but also to make more treaties, create more exchange programme "hurry the merging." But the people responsible for this "save communism" programme are not the Communists, but the enemies within our own midst. As Tom Anderson truly said: "Some unthinking and deluded Americans still think of Big Businessmen and multinational tycoons as 'free enterprises' and even as 'conservatives.' They are neither. Almost without exception they are corporate Socialists, cartelists, monopolists . . . They are not friend but enemy. They are not for the People but for Big Brother . . ."

Facilitating The Manager

Now, let's list a few of the things these enemies of ours, together with the Communist enemy, have done to effect this "merger" they desire.

We'll skip the economic and industrial aid that began with Lenin and Armand Hammer, and speak of other togetherness schemes. When the Soviets were having some trouble developing their space programme a schedule was laid out for Soviet and American astronauts to fly in space together, to learn and coordinate the technical and engineering developments of the two. There was little wonder that, for a time, they took the lead in the space race. When the Soviets were having some trouble in developing Nikolai Tesla's plans for the control of the weather and for its use as a weapon of war, because of the need for something they couldn't build for themselves, the US came to their rescue. A programme for the cooperative development of weather control was established by the US and the USSR governments.

Recently, in the spirit of "merging," the US and the USSR have developed a new joint weather exploration program. A November 4th AP dispatch from Padua, Italy, reads: "The United States and the Soviet Union will join an international space research project aimed at improving long-range weather forecasts, government scientists from the two countries said Monday. A dozen satellites are to be launched during the 1980s as part of the Solar Terrestrial Physics Program to study wind and radiation, they said Asked whether US-Soviet differences over the American Star Wars project for a space-based defence system could affect the collaboration, Roald Sagdeev (president of the Soviet Space Research Center) said: "A peaceful space exploration like this should not be made hostage to differences over the defence initiative or any

other military enterprises'." And here we see an example of the two-faced drive toward World Government: Merging at the scientific level must be encouraged, but it must not be allowed to interfere with the hostility that must continue to be maintained between the US and the USSR. The rather mysterious **Report From Iron Mountain** said it best: "The existence of an *accepted external menace*, then, is essential to social cohesiveness as well as to the acceptance of political authority. The *menace must be believable*, it must be of a magnitude consistent with the complexity of the society threatened, and it must appear, at least, *to affect the entire society*." (Emphasis added). In other words, until the merging of the nations is complete the threat of war must be maintained.

16 Paths To Sovietization

At the Geneva Summit, President Reagan and Chairman Gorbachev signed a cultural exchange agreement which promotes the Sovietization of Americans in some 16 different categories. One of those ways has to do with an educational exchange in which Soviet teachers (propagandists?) will prepare computerized lessons that are to be taught in American schools. At the college level, Soviet professors will be provided to lecture in American colleges and universities. This program has been called "Ideological Treason" and is a sure way of promoting the "merger" idea that was spoken of by the president of Ford Foundation. Incidentally, the Carnegie Corporation of New York is the foundation that seems to be in charge of this educational treason. A Carnegie Report, titled "A Nation Prepared: Teachers in the 21st Century," outlines the manner in which global education must be taught by "lead teachers" who are trained to promote One Worldism. The "New Man" for the "New World Order" seems to be the goal.

This "cultural exchange" programme is being promoted by all the Establishment organizations, and it seems that little can be done at the national level to defeat it. But much can be done at the local level, by communities that take a stand in refusing to allow anti-Christian and anti-national projects to be taught in the local schools. If enough communities demand action, much can be accomplished. Too long we have launched our protests at the top levels of government, where the Establishment is in command. If we start at the lower, grass roots, community level, perhaps battles can become victories.

Don Bell publishes a weekly newsletter, Don Bell Reports. For sample copies, send one pound to PO Box 2223, Palm Beach, Florida 33480, USA.

TRUTH OUT OF JAPAN

by NOEL HUNT

"Let her (Truth) and falsehood grapple; who ever knew Truth put to the worse in a free and open encounter?"

John Milton: **Areopagatica**.

The Japanese Prime Minister, Mr. Nakasone, is under attack by egalitarians and toilers in the race industry because of some remarks which he made recently. Mr. Nakasone remarked that the level of intelligence in America is lower than in Japan because of black and Hispanic minority groups in America. He mentioned specifically "the blacks, Mexicans and Puerto Ricans in the (American) population."

These remarks, which only a few years ago would have been regarded as so obviously true as to be scarcely worth making, have thrown egalitarians everywhere into a fine old tizzy. Because, of course, if Mr. Nakasone is right then the cherished dogma of the left that all men are identical must be wrong. Just think of the vested interests threatened by this burst of candour, not to mention the many and comfortable index-linked jobs held by those prepared to hold that a Bangladeshi can only with difficulty be distinguished from an Aberdonian. Mr. Nakasone's remarks have been variously described as "a slur", "a gaffe" and "a symptom of a national malaise." As usual, the media have displayed their usual impeccable unanimity in defence of integrationist dogma. Nobody has even hinted that Mr. Nakasone may have some evidence to support his remarks.

But there is much evidence to support what Mr. Nakasone said. In the interests of fair play and sensible public debate at least some of it should be considered.

The Florida Study

There is, for instance, The Florida Study. This tested the intelligence of 1800 Negro school children aged 5 to 14. The children were scored at graduated I.Q. intervals on the 1960 edition of the Stanford-Binet Tests.

For comparison with white children, the findings of the Florida Study were compared with similar findings for 3000 white children made by Drs. Terman and Merrill in 1937. The following results emerge from the comparison:

1. The average I.Q. of Negro children is 80.7. The average I.Q. of the white children is 101.8.
2. 5% of the Negroes achieved I.Q.s above the average white child. Conversely, 80% of the white children achieved I.Q.s above the average Negro child.
3. In the High Average and Superior groups are found 31% of the white children and 1.1% of the Negro children. (Many of the Negroes found in this group had some white ancestry.)
4. In the Average or Normal group are 46.5% of the white children and 19% of the Negro

children.

5. The Borderline and Defective Groups contain 8.2% of the whites and 50.2% of the Negroes.

6. The average Negro pupil (whose I.Q. is 80.7) cannot go beyond a national-standard Seventh-grade curriculum; for half of the Negro group the Fifth Grade is the maximum.

7. Only 1% (110 I.Q. and above) of the Negroes are intellectually equipped to do acceptable college work.

Summary

Racially mixed classrooms pose for the school administrator a choice between these alternatives: he can set standards to the Negro level, in which case 75% of the White children will work below their capacity, with resultant inferior education. Or he can adjust standards to white intelligence levels, in which case 75% of the Negro children will fail, drop out or become problems demanding special attention.

The Georgia Study

This was carried out by a specialist in mental measurement over a period of ten years in which the children were tested four times. The results were almost exactly those of the Florida Study. They showed the average I.Q. of tested Negroes to be 80.7; that of the Whites to be 101.8.

In his summary, the author points out that it seems clear that lowering the standards to accommodate the Negro pupil would deny the White pupil his maximum potential. He continues: "the only sensible alternative is to maintain two levels of instruction and to apply differential marking systems to the two groups. This would provide the best teaching for both Negro and White pupils."

Project Talent

If further evidence is needed, it can be provided by Project Talent. This was a nationwide comparative survey of the educational achievement of Negroes and Whites undertaken for the U.S. Government by the University of Pittsburgh. It covered 773 senior High Schools and 500,000 pupils.

The essential trends were as follows:

1. There is a strong tendency for average test scores to decrease as the percentage of Negroes increases. The more Negroes the lower the score.
2. The larger the percentage of Negroes in a school the higher the drop-out rate.
3. Absenteeism increased as the percentage of Negroes increased; also per-pupil expenditure. It costs more to operate an integrated school than a comparable segregated one.

In his summary, the author pointed out that patrons of a desegregated school can look forward to lower academic performance, more

drop-outs, greater absenteeism, higher costs and fewer college graduates. These will occur in direct proportion to the number of Negroes enrolled. Delinquency increases as the number of Negroes rises.

Much more detailed, specific and scientific evidence is available, but perhaps enough has been said to show that Mr. Nakasone had a good deal of evidence on his side.

So far we have considered only Negro/White comparisons. Mr. Nakasone mentioned Mexicans and Puerto Ricans as handicaps to the American child. Was he justified? On the evidence of the Coleman Report, he was.

The Coleman Report

The Coleman Report was published in 1966. It gave the results of questionnaires administered by about 20,000 American school-teachers. It is probably the most comprehensive survey of the comparative mental-test scores, motivational attitudes and educational facilities of different racial groups ever attempted.

This study has been largely ignored by the American Establishment "presumably because its data suggest conclusions contrary to the officially held egalitarian viewpoint." English readers will recall the hasty smothering of the Swann Report, which drew similar unpalatable conclusions. The findings of the Coleman Report, in conjunction with data from the 1960 U.S. Population Census, present a panorama of comparative I.Q., educational status and economic performance by American ethnic minority groups which strongly suggests that the egalitarian equation between economic deprivation and mental-test performance is without validity.

In First-Grade Verbal tests Puerto Ricans scored 44.9; Mexicans scored 46.5 and Whites 51.8.

Similar results were obtained in Twelfth-Grade Non-Verbal tests, in reading and in General Information. Over five tests Puerto Ricans scored on the average 43.1, Mexicans 44.4 and Whites 52.0.

There is much more on the same lines, all by serious and reputable scholars of world standing. Black and Hispanic Congressmen are reportedly angry at Mr. Nakasone's remarks. Let them then publicly disprove what is said above and have the question publicly debated instead of merely asserting that Mr. Nakasone is wrong.

Worrying Points

All that is quoted above refers to the United States. So the evidence can be, and will be, ignored by our own thriving egalitarian industry. There are, however, one or two worrying points for our own integrationists. Successive governments and their officials in charge of educational matters have demonstrated conclusively that the last thing which concerns them is the provision of an educational system geared to the needs and

racial traits of the indigenous English. They consider, apparently with public approval, that the needs of the ethnic minorities must take precedence over those of the English child.

So for them it must be disconcerting to read such comments as those in the Georgia Study quoted above. Let us repeat it for the edification of our men of goodwill and integrationists. "The only sensible alternative is to maintain two levels of instruction and apply differential marking systems to the two groups. This would provide the best teaching and learning situation for the Negro and The White pupils." If this is correct, not only is Mr. Nakasone fully justified in what he said, but the Government of South Africa is right in what it does in its schools. By the same token, we are wrong to insist on our present system of classroom education. Not only is it wrong, it is intolerably cruel to the ethnic minorities.

However, we may be sure that this is one topic which will never even be mentioned, let alone be publicly and widely debated as it should be.

In his *Inferno* Dante visualised various ingenious torments for such people as traitors and so on. One wonders what punishment he would have considered adequate and fitting for those who do to their children what is done in Britain and America by the egalitarians and racial integrationists. To destroy one's own children: can there ever be forgiveness or even adequate punishment for such a crime?

HIGH BIDS FOR HOSTAGES?

We heard recently on the radio about a man – an Englishman judging by his wife's accent, though employed by some American organisation – who disappeared in the Lebanon. However, none of the striving gangs there has "claimed responsibility" for kidnapping him. Then we were told that it is considered possible he has been taken by "freelance kidnappers, for sale to any organisation who wants him to use as a hostage." Well, as A.K. Chesterton said, it's hard to invent anything that doesn't happen in real life now – or tomorrow morning! The man disappeared six months ago, so if "freelance kidnappers" are responsible, it seems that they are not finding business very brisk.

With regret we announce the deaths of two stalwart readers, E. H. JONES of Southend-on-Sea, and G. H. RICHARDS of Wimborne. May their souls rest in peace.

VALIANT FOR TRUTH

by LESLIE GREENE

An adequate tribute to Hamish Fraser, who died on October 17th at the age of 73, is impossible to write. His courtesy and intellectual honesty, his patience and integrity, together with his world-wide range of correspondents, made him a journalistic phenomenon. Alas, his campaigning work editing the journal **Approaches** was too little known among those whose interests, spiritual or material, were under attack from the forces of Satan. I have seen a decent and lovable priest shy away like a startled rabbit when asked to endorse a perfectly orthodox petition because it bore the dreaded address in Saltcoats, Ayreshire. "I could not have *anything* to do with Hamish Fraser!"

For many years Hamish Fraser was an active worker for the Marxists. He took part in all the battles in which the International Brigade was engaged in Spain and during the Second World War organised Communist cells throughout industrial Clydeside. Harry Pollitt appointed him the Scottish CP's propaganda secretary. After the war, when training to become a teacher, he entered for a religious prize, thinking it would be rather fun to be the first atheist to win it. He was successful — but religion won him and he joined the Church in 1948.

So Hamish Fraser understood the machinations and pseudo-dialogues of the Marxists as did few other. He could trace the lines of their burrowing in Church and State as

well as through the World Bank, IMF and Freemasonry. He could interpret Chile or the Lebanon as readily as Ireland, but he was never glib. It is true he was often called uncharitable by brainless 'liberals' but I have never known a man more ready to retract a charge when given the appropriate facts, or to give credit for the least one brave or outspoken stand by a man who had virtually abandoned every other pass to the enemy.

In the forty years since the end of the World War II, the Catholic Church, once the bastion of anti-Communism, has allowed its politically uneducated national hierarchies to lead the faithful through so-called 'dialogue' to a *weltauschaung* from which the majority can see nothing wrong with the avowed Marxist positions on birth control, the 'Third World', 'social justice' and all the rest. Hamish believed that laymen should take the initiative on political and economic problems, but that they could only do this satisfactorily if the spiritual authorities provided a sure theological and moral foundation. In the modern world, the positions are reversed; while the gullible hierarchy pontificates on sanctions and monetarism, the man in the street who still attends church from time to time has to make do with situation ethics, but both groups found Hamish embarrassing and threatening.

Certainly he has fought the good fight, and it is hard to know how the rest of us will fare without the ammunition he provided so freely at such cost to himself.

THE PLOT WITHIN THE CHURCH

Hardly had Hamish Fraser joined the Church than the left-inclined, secularist mafia burst into the open and he found himself faced with having to admit that all manner of heresies are rife among the hierarchy to the highest level. However unpalatable that was, he recognised that the *Papacy* is the Rock on which the Church is founded and not any particular Pope, however saintly, sinful or satanic. With the Abbe Georges de Nantes and his band of brothers, Hamish Fraser valiantly accepted the miserable truth of today that the Abbe seems to have grasped from the beginning. The following extract from the latest **Catholic Counter-Reformation in the XXth Century** newsletter outlines the nature of the menace against which the Abbe and his followers have fought valiantly for so many years:

"There is no sect in the Church except one, and that one began from nothing a century ago and is now everywhere dominant. Saint Pius X had unmasked it and execrated it, having revealed the extent of its treachery in his encyclical **Pascendi dominici gregis** of 8th September 1907. To counter it, he had erected the formidable barrier of the anti-modernist oath to be imposed on assuming any position of responsibility in the Church. For ever marked with infamy, the Sect could only subsist by settling for secrecy and perjury. All its confederates took an oath of fidelity to dogmas in which they did not believe, and so it went on until the suppression by Paul VI, following the Second Vatican Council, not of the triumphant modernist Sect but of the oath that held it a prisoner of its constant lie and clandestinity.

"The Sect comprised a whole conglomerate of priests and bishops, theologians and philosophers, scholars and journalists, "Christian" in principle but in reality rationalists or fideists, some frankly atheist or agnostic, others 'visionaries', charismatics, all liberals and all democrats sharing the common characteristic of passionate *openness* towards every enemy of the Catholic Church and an odious closed attitude towards their brother Catholics, fervent defenders of the faith. It was and it still is the freemasonry of the Church.

"After forty years of tunnelling underground as moles, the Sect resurfaced thanks to the events of 1944, Liberation-Revolution, tripartism and the terror of the Purge. With the Left in power, modernism imposed its men and its ideas on the Church. Again, heroically denounced and reprobated by Pius XII in his encyclical *Humani Generis* of 12th August 1950, its proponents reduced to silence and dispersed, the Sect methodically prepared its revenge in concert with its usual external aids, themselves already dominant: judaism, freemasonry, protestantism and international capital-socialism. Strange supports for the great theologians and the generous apostles of the New Gospel!

Seizure Of Power

"Its superior confederates, cardinals of Holy Church, entered into the movement on the death of Pius XII, and the Sect seized power in two stages: firstly, through the election of John XXIII, its *man of straw* in 1958 and then through the accession to the Pontificate in 1963 of Paul VI, that amazing 'little man of iron', always a supporter of the Sect's programme for Church reform.

"In 1960, the modernist spirit chased out the Holy Spirit. The Sect methodically pursued its course of occupying all the Church's leading functions with perfect cynicism. The fact is that its adversaries were denied the right to speak and no longer had the right to truth, justice or anything else. From 1962 to 1965 the Council provided it with a platform whence it imposed its *New Christianity* on the Church and on the world. Thereafter, in the 'Spirit of the Council' and to bring about the announced reform, the modernists co-opted and pushed one another into the first places, protected from above by the popes, with the sole exception of the thirtythree days reign of Pope John-Paul I. And everywhere they all spread under the odiously ambiguous *letter* of the Acts of the Council and papal encyclicals, homilies and discourses, the universal treachery of a New Christianity, simply a new edition of changeless modernism

"the sewer where every heresy collects" as Saint Pius X called it.

"Anyone who resists or is opposed to it is excluded, stifled under a mantle of silence, set aside, barred, discouraged, pensioned off or else sanctioned with the least noise without judgement, without publicity and without scandal. Thus it was that on 25th August 1966, at the age of fortytwo, I was struck by a light diocesan suspension in the diocese of Troyes, and by means of this well aimed measure I find myself banished from the universal Church. Twenty years later I am still suspended, and nobody quite knows why. I am against the Sect . . . and that alone deserves condemnation.

"Yet for all that, we do not cease to fight the modernist heresy, its multiform schisms, its a hundred thousand scandals — we, that is to say, our monastic community, our friends of the League of the Catholic Counter-Reformation and our young people or associates of the Phalangist Communion. We engage in controversy. But first and foremost, we work relentlessly to conserve, renovate and perfect, despite the essentially disparaging and negative influence of the new spirit, the treasures of our Catholic faith, the sacred discipline of the Church and her wonderful spirituality, so necessary for the world."

As with us in politics, so Catholics in their religion must be valiant for the Truth that stares so many in the face — but in vain. Leaders, by their nature, have to be in front, even in a force 12 hurricane.

ROSINE DE BOUNEVIALLE

Read VANGUARD, the monthly magazine devoted to the ideals of Racial Survival, National Freedom and Social Justice. Edited by Joe Pearce. Send 70p for a sample copy to PO Box 634, Hove, East Sussex BN3 5FZ.

A. K. SPEAKS

A C.60 cassette tape of A. K. Chesterton speaking on the menace of International Finance.

Introduced by Leslie Greene

PRICE £3.00 (£5.00 Overseas)

Candour Publishing Company,

Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire.

THE GENTLE QUESTION

In Shakespeare's drama **The Merchant of Venice**, Ivor Benson finds a complete answer to the riddle of the weirdly ambivalent relationship of Jew and gentile in the West. His newly-published book, **The Zionist Factor**, states:

"Shakespeare does not analyse, rationalise or try to explain the relations of Jew and gentile, but gives us instead, as a form of instruction in depth, a brilliantly complete and accurate dramatic representation of what was then and remains to this day, for most people, a baffling portion of reality".

Benson draws much support for this view from Moelwyn Merchant, author of the scholarly introduction to the New Penguin edition of Shakespeare's play, who remarks that "our deepest intuitions concerning this strange and complex play" flatly contradict the notion that it had no meaning except as a form of public entertainment. The play, in fact, handles with deep understanding and sympathy three issues of profound and lasting importance: 1 - A disturbing Jewish presence; 2 - Usury as a factor in monetary transactions; and 3 - The "equity" principle in Western jurisprudence.

According to this interpretation, the phenomenon which bears the misleading label "anti-semitism" is a gentile, not a Jewish, problem, as leading Jewish spokesmen have frankly admitted. For how can gentile hostility be the "problem" when, as the Jews again so frankly admit, what threatens them most of all is a gentile willingness to accept and *assimilate* them? This point Shakespeare brings out by marrying off Shylock's daughter Jessica ("most beautiful pagan, most sweet Jew") to Antonio's friend Lorenzo.

The "equity" and "usury" issues are handled simultaneously by Shakespeare in the dialogue between Antonio and Shylock. The purpose of the equity principle in jurisprudence is, of course, to guard the individual against injustice practised under the cover of legalism.

For many readers one of the biggest surprises in Benson's book is the story about the Soviet Union's scores of thousands of privately owned industrial and commercial enterprises and their millionaire owners, nearly all of them Jews - a significant part of what Churchill once described as "a riddle, wrapped in a mystery inside an enigma".

"Is it possible," asks Dr. Henry L. Feingold, Professor of History at the University of New York, "that there is something so idiosyncratic about the Jewish presence in history . . . that it

resists the tools and thwarts the assumptions of modern scholarship?"

Indeed, there is, replies Benson.

"The need for an impartial and truthful treatment of Jewish history has recently become greater than it has ever been before", writes Professor Hannah Arendt.

Indeed, it has, comments Benson, who proceeds to explore a vast and complex subject, involving all mankind, in chapters under headings like these: 'Jewish Power in the West', 'Who Rules in the USSR?', 'The Middle East Riddle Unwrapped', 'Behind the Scenes with Dr. Goldmann', 'The Zionist Role in Rhodesia', and 'The Wall Street Struggle'.

Ivor Benson first came into public prominence in 1963 as a news analyst with the South African Broadcasting Corporation, and was later employed as Information Advisor by the Rhodesian Government.

J.C.

The Zionist Factor is published by Veritas Publishing Company (Pty) Ltd, of Western Australia (available from Heron Books, P.O. Box 29, Sudbury, Suffolk, CO10 6EF, at £5 post included).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

I am most often in wholehearted agreement with **Candour's** contributors' ideas, from yourself down, but sometimes some strange ideas seem to slip through the net, the most recent being Miss Leslie Greene's outpouring on the subject of apartheid.

For my part, I view with dismay the recent moves of the South African Government in dismantling apartheid, for the very simple reason that a rigid colour bar in the past has been the only protection the white Western European part of the population has had against physical absorption by the coloured majority. Remove the bar, mix black and white in the schools, allow "mixed marriages", and the result in a very short space of time is a mulatto slum of a country. Add to that the effect of black AIDS-carriers on whites and you have a recipe for total extinction of the white race in decades rather than centuries.

H.P.M., Essex.

Subscription Rates

Per Annum

U.K.	- - - - -	£5.00
Overseas	- - - - -	£6.00 Airmail
U.S. Dollar Rate	-	\$10.00

FOREST HOUSE, LISS FOREST, HANTS GU33 7DD

NEW ORDER

"The Mass involves the people in the USA far more than in England and at the Lord's Prayer everyone links hands no matter where you are. Communion is always under both kinds and actual bread is used, baked by a parishioner. At the bidding prayers, after the reader has read all the prayers, the congregation are asked to voice their own intentions aloud and many people actually do. I thought it was quite nice and I feel that it may be food for thought at home" — *from the parish magazine of St. Laurence's Church, Petersfield.*

There was a time when all of us
Fell to our knees and thus and thus
Adored Our Lord in Sacred Host
Silent with God and Holy Ghost;
In awful bliss were rivetted
Whilst Christ's own words were softly said
Uniting all at board and tree
Every Mass a Calvary.
But that, our modernists all say,
Seems much too faint and far away.
Involving movement young ones need,
Proving they have done the deed.
No sacrifice but cosy meal
Sends rays of love we all can feel.
Oh caring-sharing smile and kiss
Past signs of peace were not like this!
When "Agnus Dei" says the priest,
No one is ready for the feast.
Uniting in our human lot
Lamb, God and Ghost seem all forgot.

ROSINE DE BOUNEVIALLE.

SO WHAT'S NEW?

A controversial rector who officiated at a lesbian "wedding" faces the sack as chaplain to the Greenford, Middlesex, branch of the Royal British Legion, reported the **Sunday Express** on November 16th under the headline, **RECTOR IN LESBIAN ROW**. The women "seemed a very caring and loving couple and I am sure my judgement was right," the Rev. Neil Richardson, a Labour councillor, was quoted as saying in **The Sun**, under the banner headline, **LESBIAN LOVERS "WED" IN CHURCH — EXCLUSIVE**.

Yawn! Yawn! Such 'queer' goings-on are so routine in the churches today that we are surprised to find such big publicity about them. Is news scarce?

SOLDIER, HAIRDRESSER & STUDENT OF INTERNATIONAL BIGOTRY

"The really big year in my life was 1948.

"My childhood was spent in the East End, as was my adolescence. When the war ended . . . the post-Mosley marchers were trampling past my front door. It was a devastating experience . . . With all that fire in my belly, I was obviously the perfect volunteer for the Israeli army. Mother was herself a Zionist so, although upset at my rather abrupt departure, she did understand.

"That was May 1948 and I was 20. I remember an Israeli officer came surreptitiously to London looking for recruits. He scuttled us out one by one, all meeting eventually in Marseilles . . . Then we all clambered into one of the old Dakotas that landed everytime it saw a runway, and ended up in Haifa . . .

"I can honestly say that as a result of my year in Israel, I found a perspective and therefore the energy and purpose to do everything else that has mattered to me.

"Hairdressing mattered. It was something I could do well, and of course was unbelievable fun . . . But when all is said and done, we are just talking about a haircut! Perhaps a haircut that, when combined with other things created a new trend or way of looking at things. On the other hand, it made possible things I would not be able to underestimate. For instance, five years ago I developed The International Centre for the Study of Anti-Semitism and Related Bigotries at the Hebrew University. This is enormously important to me and very gratifying.

"I'd be pushing credibility to say the centre was a dream conceived in 1948, but certainly the culmination of my thoughts from that time are what motivated me to set it up. And indeed, enjoy everything else that has happened to me."

— Vidal Sassoon in the **Sunday Express** magazine.

NO ALTERNATIVE

The following communication has been received by us from the Alternative Bookshop in Covent Garden:

"I do not know whether the arrival of this publication (**Candour**) means that we have been put on your mailing list: if so — *please remove us forthwith*. We do not wish to be in regular receipt of **Candour**. Thank you. (*No written signature.*)

How excessively open-minded pro-Zionists are! We would have been astounded if **Candour** had been welcomed. As it is, we can shake the dust from our feet with a clear conscience!